

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/227, 350
 01/08/99
 NISHIUMI
 5
 723-680

LM02/0831

JOHN R LASTOVA NIXON & VANDERHYE 1100 NORTH GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714 EXAMINER

LEWIS, D
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2778

DATE MAILED:

08/31/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

'Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/227,350

Applicant

Nishiumi et al.

Examiner

David L Lewis

Group Art Unit 2778



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
	set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever lure to respond within the period for response will cause the rensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pa	awing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
⊠ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	Number)
\square received in this national stage application from	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	er No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	O-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
•	
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

. Serial Number: 08/227,350

Art Unit: 2778

2.

3.

Applicant: Nishiumi et al.

Title: Operating Device and Image Processing System Using Same

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a

foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 11, 13, 14, 17-22, 25-27, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being

anticipated by Garrido (5451053).

As in claim 11, Garrido teaches for use with a video game system console having a game program

executing processing system for executing said video game program to create a display simulating

a three dimensional world, and a portable storage device having a memory for storing video game

instructions including instructions for causing said game program executing processing system to

display a player controlled object and for causing said player controlled object to move at various

different speeds, a player controller, column 1 lines 6-29, column 6 lines 4-5, comprising: a joystick

control member, figure 1 item 50; detecting circuity for generating joystick data indicative of the

amount of joystick angular inclination and inclined direction, column 1 lines 20-28, column 5 lines

Page 3

. Serial Number: 08/227,350

Art'Unit: 2778

Applicant: Nishiumi et al.

Title: Operating Device and Image Processing System Using Same

55-68; processing circuity for responding to a command from said game program executing

processing system, whereby said game program executing processing system is operable to determine

the direction and speed for said player controlled object, column 6 lines 20-36. Said video game

controller as taught by Garrido for use within a video game system is a reconfigurable video game

controller with all the advantages of the prior art, column 1 lines 45-56. As in claims 19 and 27,

Garrido teaches of the invention as applied to claim 11 above, and further, Garrido teaches of a

memory media for storing video game instructions and graphics data; a connector for coupling said

video game instructions and said graphics data from said memory media to said video game system

console, figure 1 item 64, column 6 lines 1-6, wherein as in claim 29 said distinctive motion

characteristic is inherent to a reconfigurable video game controller, said motion being distinct for each

of the plurality of possible video game configurations.

4. As in claims 13 and 14, Garrido teaches of a removable expansion device having a data bus coupled

thereto including memory, figure 1 items 80 and 30, column 6 lines 23-36, column 8 lines 57-68. As

in claims 17 and 25, the Examiner serves Official Notice that said features are well known to be

included in joystick circuitry, as sited by Garrido, column 1 lines 20-28. As in claims 18 and 26

Garrido teaches of wherein instructions in said portable storage device memory control said game in

acceleration modes, column 6 lines 20-22, column 6 lines 29-32, column 6 lines 61-68, wherein

supplemental speed controls buttons can be inherent to video game design in both modes. As in

Examiner: David L. Lewis August 27, 1999

Serial Number: 08/227,350

Art·Unit: 2778

Applicant: Nishiumi et al.

Title: Operating Device and Image Processing System Using Same

claims 20-22 Garrido teaches of said removable expansion device, figure 1 item 80, column 6 line

Page 4

23-36. As in claim 30 and 31 Garrido teaches wherein instructions in said memory media control

said game program executing processing system to output a command to the controller, column 6

lines 23-36.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of

this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter

as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Garrido (5451053).

6.

7. As in claims 29, 32, and 33, Garrido teaches of the invention as applied to claim 27. Further the

distinctive motion characteristic being maximum speed, calculating the moving speed in response to

Serial Number: 08/227,350

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Nishiumi et al.

Title: Operating Device and Image Processing System Using Same

joystick inclination, and comparative speeds in relation to a previous frame, are well known in art of

video game systems and would have been obvious to the skilled artisan. Said video game controller

as taught by Garrido for use within a video game system is a reconfigurable video game controller

with all the advantages of the prior art, column 1 lines 45-56. Video games incorporating speed

control into the controller joystick as well as controller action buttons are well known as sited in the

prior art of record, as sited by Garrido, column 1 lines 20-30, column 5 lines 55-68, column 6 lines

20-22. The Examiner Serves Official Notice that said limitations are well known.

8. Claims 12 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrido

(541053) in view of Reed et al. (5577735).

9. As in claims 12 and 28, Garrido teaches of the invention as applied to claims 11 and 27 above.

However Garrido is silent as to said well known joystick selection of player controlled objects for

game play. Reed et al. teaches of said well known joystick selection of player controlled objects for

game play, column 6 lines 33-34. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to utilize said

joystick selection means as taught by Reed et al., given its well known application for selection in

video games, in addition to the fact that said joystick serves as the primary data input means for

controller video game input, making it the obvious player controlled selection tool of choice.

Examiner: David L. Lewis

Serial Number: 08/227,350 Page 6

Serial Number, 08/22/,.

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Nishiumi et al. ->

Title: Operating Device and Image Processing System Using Same

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15, 16, 23, and 24 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1, 8, 11, and 31 of U. S. Patent No. 5903257 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: "said reset signal generating means in connection with said joystick".

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Examiner: David L. Lewis August 27, 1999

Page 7

Serial Number: 08/227,350

Art Unit: 2778

Applicant: Nishiumi et al.

Title: Operating Device and Image Processing System Using Same

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 12.

Hsu (5769719), See figure 1 items 11, col. 1 lines 45-67, col. 4 lines 57-63.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to David L. Lewis whose telephone number is (703) 306-3026. The examiner can normally

be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (703) 305-4938. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Or hand-delivered to:

Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700

Examiner: David L. Lewis

August 27, 1999